

This transcript was exported on Nov 24, 2023 - view latest version [here](#).

Speaker 1 ([00:00](#)):

In process. Thank you so much. Good

Speaker 2 ([00:02](#)):

Afternoon everybody for being here. Also, let's go ahead and write down the time. It's 2 25.

Speaker 1 ([00:12](#)):

Yep.

Speaker 2 ([00:13](#)):

Today where we'll get started. August 25th, 2030. Committee, we'll go and then call the role.

Speaker 1 ([00:23](#)):

Okay. I can start calling. Role for you. I'm Maria Zen. I'm a hearing officer Jason Hughes. Ex officio is not present today. Josh Tatum. Here. Alicia Plummer here. And Lois Jones. Here. Samuel Oliphant not present.

Speaker 2 ([00:42](#)):

He has communicated that he's on his

Speaker 1 ([00:44](#)):

Way. Oh, he's on his way. Okay, great. Alrighty. And also present here, the C P A, Michelle Diaz and myself. Alrighty. We can get started. So

Speaker 2 ([00:54](#)):

Three commissioners we have.

Speaker 1 ([00:55](#)):

Yes we do.

Speaker 2 ([00:57](#)):

We start the meeting. There's no guest here today for public comment, so we'll move on to review an approval of agenda. Any comments on the agenda or anything we change or There's a motion to approve.

Speaker 1 ([01:12](#)):

Motion to approve the agenda? Yes. Okay. Okay. And seconded.

Speaker 2 ([01:17](#)):

Okay.

Speaker 1 ([01:18](#)):

Okay. By plumber. Okay, great. Alrighty. All in favor. Okay, so ordered.

Speaker 2 ([01:24](#)):

So we'll move on to reviewing outstanding invoices.

Speaker 3 ([01:28](#)):

Alright. I have distributed in your packet the invoices that were presented as of yesterday. We do have two additions and we'll go through them one by one. So the first one is Perplex technology for \$65. That's his monthly web posting plus the \$10 fee for each posting of the agenda.

Speaker 2 ([01:53](#)):

We have a guest that entered the room.

Speaker 1 ([01:54](#)):

Actually, he's a new board member but he's not on this committee, but he's welcome to sit at the table.

Speaker 2 ([01:59](#)):

Hello. How you doing? Hi. This is the finance committee? Yes. Okay, go ahead. Sorry Michelle.

Speaker 3 ([02:11](#)):

That's all right. The next invoice is Entergy the bill. This is for July's usage and I'd like to bring to your attention, if you look at the invoice itself right in the upper right hand corner, we did make that payment of 27,000 2 52 68 that was approved at the last board meeting to completely bring current. So now we will, as long as we stay on track, we'll just be occurring each month's current charges. So for July it was 4,000 1 92, 46 is what we will have to pay. The next invoice is H and H Enterprises. This was approved by the board at the last meeting for certain emergency repairs that were needed to the roof that were brought out by Maya's warehouse. That work is understand has been completed.

([03:08](#)):

The next is the invoice for Michelle G P A for the monthly seven 50. And then the last invoice is not the last, but the last that I prepared so far was PyCon for \$1,300. My only comment on this is you may recall a few meetings ago, chair Hughes under his authority, had approved us to pay not to exceed \$5,000 for five con. And this particular invoice does bring it about \$200 over. And from speaking with him, there is more work to be done. So counsel, you may want to advise on exactly what steps need to be taken just to make sure that we stay within the approval.

Speaker 1 ([03:57](#)):

Sure, yeah. And Wess apologized. He reached out to me. He'd been keeping very close tabs, but he thought that it was 5,950 because that he was approved for, because in the conversation he, at first he had said nine 50, but then when there was more added to it, it was 5,000. Well, when he thought that he was approved, he thought he was approved for 9,500, I mean 5,950. In any event, he said that he is fine if you all only wanted to approve right now up to whatever was the remaining balance on that 5,000 leaving for the, I think it was like about \$300 extra work for further approval by the board. He said he's fine with that. He's not rushing for the money. He was just trying to keep his invoices up. And I certainly would recommend because out of the property committee and Commissioner Tatum, I think you were No, you were not there.

([04:51](#)):

Commissioner Plummer was there at the property committee meeting. We had a surprise where we found out that Woodward had left live wires. That they were basically ping their electricity, our electricity from my building to run their trailers and they left them on the ground in the high grass when the landscapers went out. They had no way of knowing because those wires were not tagged in any way. They didn't see 'em and we were just very fortunate that they actually weren't electrocuted when they did it, but they cut the live wires. So we only found out about it at that meeting. So luckily Mr. Pfeiffer was here and we were able to send him straight out to go and make sure that everything power was cut so that we didn't have anyone because Omar was here too and we asked him to call his electrician and he said he would call him and get him there the next day.

(05:42):

But he couldn't do anything about it that night. Well of course as a lawyer, I'm concerned about anybody who might have access to the outside of the building, which is anyone, and may touch one of those wires and then we are liable for their death or injury. So Mr. Pfeiffer was able to go straight over and make sure that he was able to shut down all the appropriate electricity. So he did that. So I'm sure that he should be able to charge for that. But also I think that the concept was that because of the duplicitous nature so far, I guess of how we didn't even know that permits weren't pulled and there was significant work going on that was not permitted. No permit was posted on the building. I think at this point the board's thought and the board can speak for itself, that they would like to at least have someone oversee the balance of the work as it gets done to make certain that everything is properly permitted and everything's properly installed. And so I wouldn't anticipate it would be much more time, but I can let him speak to that. But he may need, when you get to the board to approve him for another, I don't know, \$3,000 or something to finish up the project.

Speaker 2 (06:52):

What I disagree with that. Yeah.

(06:57):

Because if we are going to be trying to said instead of us hiring all these individual people to do the job, we'd come out cheap. And he would say that if we would come out cheaper if we had a facility maintenance person that could do all those disciplines. Now Wes was very good. I'm not going to say anything against West and I think that we need to pay Wes what he's done, but I am more inclined to get in that facilities maintenance person who can also check the permits, who can also keep an eye on advance while they're doing. And also when we start moving into doing what we need to do for the building can do that as well.

Speaker 1 (07:46):

And lemme say the only reason I had suggested to finish Thank you. I'm sorry.

Speaker 2 (07:51):

So that was what Mr. Swier had reported then. And I think he's going to do a presentation today, but I don't think, and Wess is very, very to anything from him, but I think with the advan situation, that's going to be long drawn out. And if we are going to keep West to do something that somebody else can do, plus I would rather get somebody who could do everything. Correct. I'm sorry, Mr. Sw me remember who that is? I remember the name. I can't Mr. He's an electrician that came to the last meeting that you He's the electrician. Sorry, I just had to, and he has a plethora of licenses and certifications

Speaker 1 ([08:39](#)):

And the only reason I had recommended, and I know we're running short on time Wes, was because I'm really big on continuity of knowledge. And so since he has gotten so in the weeds with this and he's very familiar with the Adv AI facility and has gone over several times and met with them, he kind of knows at this point where to look and what's happening. And that's why I suggested that. And also because it was my idea, Elise, before this last conversation with Miles cursing me out that that lease would be assigned and ready to go really, really fast. And I didn't know if we could onboard someone that fast to keep up with the pace of what was going to happen. But

Speaker 2 ([09:22](#)):

You're not onboarding a novice.

Speaker 1 ([09:25](#)):

Yeah. And also Wes does have the commercial license, Mr.

Speaker 2 ([09:29](#)):

Quote. Mrs. SW has a ple.

Speaker 1 ([09:30](#)):

Okay. Mr.

Speaker 2 ([09:32](#)):

Swee has been in the business over 30 years, so he's not a novice.

Speaker 3 ([09:37](#)):

So what I think I'm hearing is that we can agree to pay Fcon the extra two 50 that he's exceeded the 5,000.

Speaker 1 ([09:50](#)):

I think that

Speaker 2 ([09:50](#)):

I would support that.

Speaker 3 ([09:53](#)):

That's the work and

Speaker 2 ([09:53](#)):

Even his work up until today.

Speaker 1 ([09:56](#)):

Yeah. Legally I would think that this committee could recommend that that be paid but not could pay it. You could pay up to the 5,000 right now and recommend to the board that they pay the balance. Right.

Speaker 3 ([10:07](#)):

That's exactly what I'm saying.

Speaker 1 ([10:08](#)):

Okay. Thank you. That

Speaker 3 ([10:09](#)):

The finance committee recommends to the full board that this final invoice, which will bring it to 52 50 be paid and then you all can decide separately how to go forward.

Speaker 2 ([10:20](#)):

But I would even say onto that because if he's, I don't know what's going to happen after date and when Adva is going to start, I think because that's on the agenda also as well to, so I think that's a fair point is that we pay Michelle, as you mentioned, through the recommendation of recommend to the board, the 5, 3 50 or whatever the amount was, go ahead and get settled up with them and then whatever proceeds after what the board decides today on the construction, then we can evaluate Mr. Wan and Fifer. I mean honestly, I'm not sure if Mr. Swan, if we're saying that he would come on full time, is that what we're saying? As the, I think we would have to decide if he was going to be full-time or as needed, but I would think we will need a facility hundred percent. Especially as we get the tenants. And that's another for

Speaker 3 ([11:24](#)):

Sure. Just one last comment in looking at his invoice, he's billed on this invoice through Office 20th, so there is a possibility that there's another week of work that he's already done.

Speaker 2 ([11:36](#)):

So I think it is Friday.

Speaker 3 ([11:38](#)):

So if there's another week of work, so

Speaker 2 ([11:40](#)):

Should we say about 50? I can't remember.

Speaker 1 ([11:43](#)):

I guess wait until pending his invoice.

Speaker 3 ([11:47](#)):

Invoice, just acknowledge that there will be another invoice coming just for work done through

Speaker 1 ([11:52](#)):

Today and you can also ask him for a final invoice.

Speaker 4 ([11:55](#)):

Okay. I just have a question. Okay. Yes, we are paying him it is the \$5,700 or whatever. How much longer do you think we are going to need him to be there or somebody to be there too through the end of this construction and how long?

Speaker 1 ([12:14](#)):

I would think with respect to Ivano, I'm not talking about what the building would need. No, I'm talking about Ivano. With respect to Ivano, I would think that Ivano, I haven't talked to them about their construction plans, but they have gotten very far advanced in their construction plans and I can't imagine that once they get Woodward back on, I can't imagine that they have more than say three weeks left of construction work. At that point. I would say that we would not be doing anything at all with Ivano because of the nature of their product and their lease. They don't want us entering their facility at all basically. So EDO would be out of the picture as far as monitoring or watching. So the

Speaker 3 ([12:54](#)):

Construction is only about three weeks left?

Speaker 1 ([12:56](#)):

I would think so, but I'm not a construction person. I'm just looking at, and I think Commissioner Tatum has seen over there with their,

Speaker 2 ([13:04](#)):

I think we didn't go decide, but I would give it at October latest if we really had to push October. But I do see once iOS done with construction, we evaluate then a full somebody that's there because we'll need somebody between the, but I think we would need somebody there in the same time to learn to learn and not just, I don't want to take away from we, I don't want to take away from we, because without Wes we wouldn't have known all the stuff that they've done to us and we want a smooth transit, but we have somebody who can do that and then some. That's all I'm saying. And then having that smooth transition as well that they can learn from. What makes sense? Well I think for this,

Speaker 3 ([13:57](#)):

So the purposes of this invoice finance committee is recommending that we pay this \$1,300 invoice acknowledging that it is two 50 over the 5,000 that was approved. And maybe if he's here, we can just get him to inform the board as to how much time has been incurred through today. And then maybe you all can discuss if you want to move forward. I mean that would just be outside of this

Speaker 2 ([14:21](#)):

Invoice. I think we got Boost the property committee as well on that standpoint of advising on the structure of the process of Pfeiffer. Yes.

Speaker 3 ([14:34](#)):

Okay. So moving to the next invoice, I just want to move us along.

Speaker 1 ([14:38](#)):

Yeah, yeah.

This transcript was exported on Nov 24, 2023 - view latest version [here](#).

Speaker 3 ([14:40](#)):

Councilor Zen did present this afternoon and so these copies were just distributed as well. Her invoice for the month of July, which totals, I'm sorry, 11,460, is

Speaker 1 ([14:53](#)):

That right? Yes. And you'll see on online what I've been doing ever since we started doing Ivano is I try to separate them and wait, does she, the page is missing. A page is missing from here. I separate out

Speaker 3 ([15:17](#)):

Copy of the back cause there's something on the

Speaker 1 ([15:18](#)):

Back. Yeah, page two is

Speaker 3 ([15:20](#)):

It's on the

Speaker 1 ([15:21](#)):

Back. She didn't copy the back. Oh wow. Okay. So page two is at Vno. She didn't see it when she copied.

Speaker 3 ([15:30](#)):

I've got it and I can forward to,

Speaker 1 ([15:34](#)):

Yeah, she didn't see the back to copy. So what I'm doing in my billing system, I separate IVANO as a separate matter so you guys can see how much goes towards Ivano and then how much goes to your regular BART board network.

Speaker 3 ([15:50](#)):

This is just for

Speaker 1 ([15:51](#)):

July? Yeah, this is just for July. If you want, I guess maybe pass the second page also so that if you don't mind, I'll come get it for you.

Speaker 3 ([16:01](#)):

Okay,

Speaker 1 ([16:02](#)):

I got it. Just so they can physically see it

Speaker 3 ([16:05](#)):

Then Maria, I just want to make sure these are for copies that

Speaker 1 ([16:08](#)):

Were made. Those are for copies made today. So the general representation also includes the Myers and maybe I should have made a separate matter for Myers as well, but Myers hasn't been as time consuming. It's been time consuming because it's a lease and there is negotiation, but it's not been the kind of negotiation and being cursed out that I've been getting from Miles Anderson and the phone calls, hour long phone calls from Miles Anderson in the morning. Yeah, 10 o'clock at night. Phone calls, furious. Phone calls furious. So you, you'll see that the page two and three are advo and advo exceeds what I've been doing for the board and Myers joined together.

Speaker 3 ([16:56](#)):

Is there still the possibility of them reimbursing N O R B P for

Speaker 1 ([17:00](#)):

Your leave? Oh, I doubt it. Mr. Anderson said that he's not going to recommend that to his client. Okay.

Speaker 3 ([17:05](#)):

I know that was brought up

Speaker 1 ([17:06](#)):

Before that. Yes. And if I sound disappointed, I've been through a lot with this and it also, I'm always very concerned about my client's costs and I was raised to be concerned about others and I'm concerned about how much you guys are paying and you are only going to get \$2,955 a month in rent from IO yet your costs. And I'm always looking at that. What are my costs that I'm getting your costs far exceed what you will ever recoup in rent. Now maybe you'll just be happy to be someone who helped these people become huge, whatever. But just know that when you're just looking at bottom lines that that's what you're talking about.

Speaker 3 ([17:53](#)):

So finance committee, would you consider commissioner?

Speaker 1 ([18:00](#)):

Yeah, it's,

Speaker 2 ([18:03](#)):

We've got,

Speaker 1 ([18:03](#)):

Yeah. Yeah, but I would like to get that one approved today. Please

Speaker 3 ([18:09](#)):

Question. I was just going to real quickly say, I don't know if the finance committee wants to consider asking Advo to reimburse some of these legal fees

Speaker 2 ([18:17](#)):

We have. And if you all know I gave the one and don't, don't be surprised when you get a shock when she turns in her bill. And I even said a couple of times, I've even said it on a meeting in our negotiations at Van, we need to ask at Vanna to pay some of her fees because Miles will constantly get in touch with her and 15 minutes later call her a game and then 30 minutes later call her a game. It was over and over. I did make that suggestion. How we move forward with it, I don't know, but definitely they should be paying some of the bills because

Speaker 1 ([19:02](#)):

Not her. Yes, it's them. And I can't tell you that I will not be getting the phone calls anymore because I have blocked his phone calls. I'll only get emails. I think

Speaker 2 ([19:13](#)):

Moving forward, this is just kind of due to Tom here for two minutes. I think really want to get us you things here really important. So Maria, I think the best thing what we'll do is we'll definitely review this. I think also there is the c e A with the city. For me, I think we just move forward in some form or fashion. Get right, get tight, and then bring everything up to speed and reassess of some sort.

Speaker 1 ([19:44](#)):

I just need to get this invoice paid. That's all I can say. Need get this invoice

Speaker 2 ([19:49](#)):

Paid?

Speaker 1 ([19:49](#)):

Yes. Yes, please. Thank you so much.

Speaker 2 ([19:53](#)):

We need to take care

Speaker 1 ([19:54](#)):

Of this. Thank you.

Speaker 2 ([19:55](#)):

And again, this is just July,

Speaker 1 ([19:57](#)):

Correct? That's just July,

Speaker 2 ([19:59](#)):

Right? It's a lot of money. Okay, so do we need

Speaker 1 ([20:04](#)):

A June? A second is by a plumber. Plumber, okay. All in favor. A thank you.

This transcript was exported on Nov 24, 2023 - view latest version [here](#).

Speaker 3 ([20:10](#)):

Okay, and then the last, just so miscellaneous FedEx copies, receipt, that was just turned in also from council, which is 1 97, 14 plus \$38 and 45 cents.

Speaker 1 ([20:22](#)):

Yeah. These are for the copies that you have before you today just

Speaker 2 ([20:25](#)):

Voted on was to recommend for the board to pay it to

Speaker 3 ([20:28](#)):

That July. Yes.

Speaker 2 ([20:30](#)):

I just want to make sure I understand what we're

Speaker 1 ([20:31](#)):

Doing. Yes. And those are the, your chairperson requested that I please get copies printed this time of both leases and I have verbatim minutes, but I only made one copy of the verbatim minutes. I'm going to condense those into smaller minutes, but they're a book, they're 52 pages. That's from your last board minutes. So I just made one copy for the chair so he has something to refer to in this meeting.

Speaker 3 ([20:57](#)):

Okay, so we

Speaker 1 ([20:58](#)):

Have a, that was the \$38.

Speaker 2 ([21:01](#)):

No, we recommended to the board today,

Speaker 1 ([21:04](#)):

Right? Yeah. Everything's a recommendation from the committee.

Speaker 2 ([21:07](#)):

Jones moves to

Speaker 1 ([21:09](#)):

Recommend approval

Speaker 2 ([21:10](#)):

To recommend to the board to pay that. Do we have a second? Second. All in favor? Wonderful. So it sounds like all invoices from finance committee are moved to be recommended. Mr. Chair, I just want to

remind you and the committee and later the board, we have that 34,000 that we promised guard doctors. Oh yes, yes, yes. I think that's next actually coming up. Oh, you got it on that. I'm

Speaker 1 ([21:42](#)):

Sorry. It is item eight.

Speaker 2 ([21:45](#)):

Okay,

Speaker 3 ([21:47](#)):

So moving to the,

Speaker 2 ([21:48](#)):

Yeah, just do the time, the review year to date budget, we are we good to, I know we are out of line, but do you want to go through that right fast?

Speaker 3 ([21:58](#)):

I can do it really quickly. A very condensed version just so we have it on the record. Okay. So for the month of July, the only direct change would be to cash. The cash went down to 911,905 because in the month of July our expenses did exceed the revenue by 15,367. All of the other balance sheet numbers remain the same except that the net assets naturally will change because the cash changed. Page two, your statement of activities. We received the rent 25,275 sum as one 50 utilities. We paid that 27,253 to Entergy Accounting, Michelle Diaz seven 50, Bruno and Turbo loan 9,100 for the annual review. \$3,000. That was two separate checks paid to Fcon for the construction supervision. We had miscellaneous expenses of 6 34 for refreshments, plus copies for the board meeting and the website hosting of \$55. Total expenses 40,792. So again, the monthly net income was a negative 15,367. The next page is just the Eagle Live view of the entire year. So it's just summarizing what I just reviewed.

([23:19](#)):

And then the next page is our actual to budget. Again, just updating it through July. So in summary, where we are is we have still \$207,530 of rent to collect in order to reach the budget that we had forecasted at the beginning of the year. And then in terms of expenses in total, we still have 68,424 to go in expenses. I will say that we will probably end the year with the expenses having exceeded the annual budget principally because we have incurred quite a few costs with contracted services for the appraisal, construction, et cetera, that we had not forecasted or not budgeted. So that's my condensed presentation of the financial statements.

Speaker 2 ([24:18](#)):

Thank you. Michelle, any questions on the budgets? I feel confident that this is a very good strong budget that we're still staying in line. Of course we've had a lot of hard costs that have been associated and one that we're about to talk about, particularly landscaping, but some of this too, remember a lot of this had last year catching up from last year. So all in all, I still feel healthy of where we are from cash and so forth. We've been spinning a lot of wheels probably of our own time. But any questions? Okay, we don't need to be on.

Speaker 3 ([25:03](#)):

Yeah, I believe you do. Just to recommend that.

Speaker 2 ([25:07](#)):

Is there a question to recommend? I think that was approved already. You talking about guard

Speaker 3 ([25:14](#)):

Doctors? No, no, no.

Speaker 1 ([25:16](#)):

Just the budget statements. I'm

Speaker 3 ([25:17](#)):

Trying to

Speaker 2 ([25:18](#)):

Read so much stuff.

Speaker 1 ([25:19](#)):

Yeah,

Speaker 3 ([25:20](#)):

No, just the financial statement.

Speaker 1 ([25:22](#)):

Okay. So move by general second. All in favor? Okay, great.

Speaker 3 ([25:26](#)):

Okay, for the next item, I volunteered to step out so that you all could discuss Michelle Diaz c p a contract review. So just call me when I can come back in.

Speaker 1 ([25:36](#)):

Would you mind asking?

Speaker 3 ([25:38](#)):

I'm sorry. Do you have your scope of

Speaker 2 ([25:40](#)):

Work? Did you turn that in? She texted to me. I have it text that

Speaker 1 ([25:46](#)):

Was Can you bring my invoice down and ask Jeanetta to make a copy if you need it? If you need it for the, do you have a copy? No, it's on my computer but he has the hard copy. Yeah, the one written in

blue in case we need that second page for Thank you. She just didn't see that I had it on her office is down. Yeah, first floor right reception. It's right by the reception area. Okay. Just

Speaker 3 ([26:17](#)):

Call me and I'll come

Speaker 1 ([26:17](#)):

Back on. Okay,

Speaker 2 ([26:19](#)):

So the next two I do want to kind of get to is very important in these next 10 minutes. The other two, if we don't have time, I know it's against the agenda, but I think these are the next very two board meeting is CH engineer.

Speaker 1 ([26:38](#)):

Okay. Oh, we're in the middle of a finance committee meeting right now. You can have a seat. Have a seat. Okay, thank you.

Speaker 2 ([26:44](#)):

So the next two items here, one being Michelle Diaz. As everybody is familiar with the invoices, she has been paid seven 50 a month as her current monthly accounting fee. Just due to at the last board meeting, chairman had tasked us as a finance committee to reevaluate her contract and what she's been paid. And I do think with the work that Michelle has done with keeping the board and documents in good line and particularly helping get us budgeted and where we need to be, it does make sense to evaluate an increase in her contractors, the work that she's been doing around keeping us in line. But with the audits, I know that there is some concerns there for sure and I definitely agree, but I think it's something that I did want to bring to the table. She does not have a formal contract, but she has called and texted.

([27:51](#)):

I shared with the vice chair you just said, I believe I texted to you you back on Monday? Yeah, Monday this week. Yeah. Text I shared with vice chair plumber and Chairman Hughes, her ask, she's asked to come up to \$1,500 a month fee for her services and this would essentially date back too as well. Taken in consideration her keeping in line for last year and at least, and I do want to hand it over to Commissioner Plummer with kind of the background on that. So given time Commissioner Plum, okay, I'm looking for that. I can remember some of the things that I was concerned about. Okay,

Speaker 5 ([28:48](#)):

So we're over budget by \$9,100 on accounting and review line item. Is that what accounts for the overrun? Is that because we paid her back pay that we hadn't?

Speaker 2 ([29:03](#)):

Correct. 2022.

Speaker 5 ([29:04](#)):

This transcript was exported on Nov 24, 2023 - view latest version [here](#).

So the tune of whatever, it's going to end up being like \$10,000. What did we give her? Wait, what? Did we pay her,

Speaker 2 ([29:12](#)):

Michelle? So on the budget

Speaker 5 ([29:16](#)):

Or did we not know how much the review is going to be?

Speaker 2 ([29:19](#)):

The review? When

Speaker 4 ([29:20](#)):

You talking about the 9,000 we paid for, that's the

Speaker 5 ([29:25](#)):

Audit. Yes, but it's also Michelle, is it not? No,

Speaker 4 ([29:29](#)):

No,

Speaker 5 ([29:29](#)):

She's not accounting.

Speaker 2 ([29:32](#)):

She can't audit her own

Speaker 5 ([29:33](#)):

Books. I appreciate that. But it's in this line item on the budget,

Speaker 4 ([29:37](#)):

Right? Because it was 9,100 for the audit in seven 50 for her pay. That's why it's 98 50 because the 9,100 was for the actual audit. It's next to it in 98 50.

Speaker 5 ([29:50](#)):

Where are you looking at?

Speaker 4 ([29:52](#)):

This is the total here.

Speaker 2 ([29:54](#)):

So if you'll look at the year 2023 actual this right here,

This transcript was exported on Nov 24, 2023 - view latest version [here](#).

Speaker 5 ([29:58](#)):

Actual

Speaker 4 ([29:58](#)):

Versus budget,

Speaker 2 ([30:00](#)):

This one

Speaker 4 ([30:00](#)):

Right here. But the 9,100 was actually

Speaker 5 ([30:02](#)):

From, so you're saying this statement is wrong.

Speaker 2 ([30:05](#)):

If you'll look at the bottom of the comments that Michelle has put together here on the pages, the cash basis here, 2022 actual, let's just look through this. Right past

Speaker 5 ([30:24](#)):

Paid 92 50 in February, 1500 in

Speaker 4 ([30:28](#)):

March, 1500

Speaker 5 ([30:31](#)):

Again in May and 98 50 in July. So I think the 98 50 review,

Speaker 4 ([30:41](#)):

The 98 50 is 9,100 for seven 50 for her, correct. That's what the 98 50 is.

Speaker 5 ([30:49](#)):

Oh, okay. I see that

Speaker 2 ([30:52](#)):

Now's right. And the last time we paid was in May with Ms. Diaz.

Speaker 5 ([30:59](#)):

So I guess what I'm asking is why were we so far off on our budget for that right now?

Speaker 2 ([31:06](#)):

We had to retro pay her in February the 9,250. If you look at the February accounting,

This transcript was exported on Nov 24, 2023 - view latest version [here](#).

Speaker 5 ([31:15](#)):

The budget, we knew about that.

Speaker 2 ([31:19](#)):

I think that was just our,

Speaker 5 ([31:21](#)):

I mean I'm just curious how we missed the budget that far off.

Speaker 4 ([31:24](#)):

Well I don't think they accounted for the \$9,100 for,

Speaker 5 ([31:31](#)):

We knew

Speaker 2 ([31:32](#)):

We account 50. We didn't account for the 2022 year because this is only for, this budget is only for 2023.

Speaker 5 ([31:41](#)):

So that some of those amounts that are counting against our annual budget are coming should have come out of the budget for last year. Correct?

Speaker 2 ([31:50](#)):

Correct.

Speaker 5 ([31:51](#)):

Well then this statement is done but okay,

Speaker 2 ([31:54](#)):

Because if you look on February, thank you. The 9,250 that was paid to retro fit her for 2022 services that

Speaker 5 ([32:08](#)):

There would still put us. Right. If we take that out of this, we would only be right about at zero. We've spent the entire budget, in other words, and we have five months, four months to go. So I'm just curious how we did this. I think we just need to treat it as a lessons learned and do a better job budgeting for next year.

Speaker 2 ([32:37](#)):

I agree. Well there's \$600 left essentially if you take in the budget. Understand.

Speaker 5 ([32:42](#)):

Yeah, that's good. That's fine. I don't want to take waste everybody's time. I just want, and her service is more than worthwhile.

Speaker 2 ([32:53](#)):

We can reevaluate. So given time here,

Speaker 5 ([32:58](#)):

What is she asking for?

Speaker 2 ([32:59](#)):

1500 a month.

Speaker 5 ([33:02](#)):

So it's just a small bump. We're talking about a hundred percent bump.

Speaker 2 ([33:06](#)):

Yeah. My thing is this, and I could be wrong, that's why I asked for scope work. I don't think it's, I don't want to say word. That's not the right word.

Speaker 5 ([33:22](#)):

Did she state at one point though that the seven 50 that she's currently charging was something that she went down to when the board was kind of not, I don't recall.

Speaker 2 ([33:35](#)):

I wasn't here when they did that. I don't know if I

Speaker 5 ([33:37](#)):

Seem to remember her saying something like

Speaker 2 ([33:38](#)):

That. I don't know. But that's why I asked for a scope of work. Because in fact what she actually does is just do the accounting once a month.

([33:55](#)):

A month. And you have to take us into consideration. We've been late three years in a row, three years in a row and some of the audit is still not correctly. We still have the \$45,000 for furniture that we don't have. I think it fair amount would be if I can suggest maybe, but clearly not double the, you make a great point. And I think in interest of time, I think what we as finance committee recommend to forward to do a scope of work and to sit down and have a one-on-one with exec to view the, so are we all in favor with finance to receive a scope of work and then take it to exec to evaluate and make a decision? Yes. So is there a motion for that recommendation for the board, Michelle?

Speaker 1 ([35:07](#)):

The motion is, I'm sorry,

Speaker 2 ([35:08](#)):

The motion is that the Michelle Diaz contract will be sent to exec to review with the scope of work being received by Ms. Diaz.

Speaker 1 ([35:18](#)):

Okay. It'll be sent to the executive committee. Executive committee

Speaker 2 ([35:21](#)):

And the executive committee will make a decision to move forward.

Speaker 1 ([35:24](#)):

Okay, great. Moved by plumber. Seconded by Jones. Jones. Alright, all in favor? Aye. Thank you. Okay.

Speaker 2 ([35:31](#)):

I know we were over time here, but we almost finished in of just this last very important one here. The landscaping contract review. Everybody just to put on your radar that we did make an emergency, and correct me if I'm wrong, commissioner Plummer, to go ahead and it was sent to executive committee since the property was such a bad shape and thanks to our partners at Landscape Garden. Dock, commissioner Plumber and myself went out last two Mondays ago or so, and really thanks to them, they were able to walk through us with it. There was a \$34,000 fee to get the place looking right and get the landscaping looking better. We went ahead and moved forward with that to go ahead and render services for that. And then evaluate the monthly contracts, which I believe is in the hands of the chairman and vice chair as well to review a property committee, I believe also review as well. So me personally, I feel very good about this partnership with them and getting the property clean. And we did the walkthrough.

([36:44](#)):

Yeah, there's not much segment to thank the garden doctors. I need to take a right over. Yeah, I have pictures. I didn't have your number and a bunch of 'em number, but I've been sending pictures that Mark has sent to me every day. He send me pictures. I sent it out. But I'll all in all bad if you weren't, but all in all, I don't think we have to make an official recommendation Commissioner p plumber because it's already been approved, already approved. All in all, I think it's all worth our weight and gold. Any questions on the 34,000? There's a lot that's been done. Ask him real quick. Mark. Yes

Speaker 6 ([37:43](#)):

Ma'am.

Speaker 2 ([37:44](#)):

Are you done?

Speaker 6 ([37:46](#)):

We are not a hundred percent complete. And the reason is we have run across some issues where two thirds of that front lot is actually underwater.

([37:58](#)):

It is submerged at least six to eight inches on across that property. What we are doing is early next week, we're bringing in a larger piece of equipment to cut down the remainder of that that we couldn't get to with our own tractor and the mowers and such. But they have cleared off all of the hay, the residuals of the vegetation and such. We have removed all of the dead trees, pull all of the vegetation off of the building, cut all of the trees back to a match height. The property looks 2000% better than what it did before. We do have a little bit more work, but like I said, that will be completed early next week when we get the bigger piece of equipment to actually go into that water area. I would like to state that

(38:51):

The water is not going to go any place unless there is some sort of leveling done on that field. So what's going to happen is we are going to continue to have that same problem that vegetation has sat there in a rotten state and of course we've had wild boars on the property and there's signs of their running and digging into the ground looking for roots and grubs and such. And they've torn up this ground to the point where there is a rotting fungus existing throughout the entire soil. So healthy grass is not going to grow on that property unless something else is done about it. But we can keep it at a manageable state. In the meantime. We'll treat it with herbicides if we get the maintenance contract on that, if we proceed forward and like I said, early next week, we'll bring in the larger piece of equipment. We'll be done basically.

Speaker 2 (39:51):

Thank you so much. Is there any questions from finance committee on the cleanup fee? The fee on everybody's radar? We have discussed also that with the city, that some of the fees for the 34th could be covered in that we would have for the C because that would be in the spirit, the C city getting the property back. I'll do it after the meeting before Mr. Chair Mark, can you explain something you were telling us about programs

Speaker 6 (40:42):

Agriculture?

Speaker 2 (40:43):

Yeah.

Speaker 6 (40:46):

Yes. So which programs exactly?

Speaker 2 (40:48):

I can't remember, but I know you were telling us about something. I think it was just some of the making it natural habitat, being able to get the property actually certified as a natural habitat, being able to have some of the,

Speaker 6 (41:02):

So yeah, so in order for the property to be recognized as contributing by revolution of agriculture, enforce the standards, it was necessary to remove non trees as well as several dead stocks and trunks that were existing. But also to bring a lot of that back in the back conditions because you have a lot of

This transcript was exported on Nov 24, 2023 - view latest version [here](#).

Speaker 2 ([41:33](#)):

Overgrowth that is unidentifiable and could present hazards to the environment. Thank you so much. You're welcome. Okay. In interest of Tom, I don't think there's any motions that we have to do or recommendations on the landscaping. So interest of time. I'm going suspend the last two agenda items, which to the next meeting that we'll have early September. Their motion to adjourn first by Jones. All in favor? Aye. Aye. Maria? Yes. Oh, thank you so much.